1st February 1929]

(i) There appears to me to be some force in the first objection raised by Mr. Narayana Raju, M.L.C., that the president as an elected honorary functionary is "in a delicate situation to take coercive steps such as attachment, sale of properties." Further there is some impropriety in the president both signing an order for execution and executing it.

(ii) The second disadvantage pointed out by Mr. Narayana Raju is that the president has no staff and no village office to keep the attached property in safe custody. Difficulties on this account are as a matter of fact likely to be experienced by a president who does not happen to be the adhikari. As an elected honorary functionary, it does not seem desirable to require the president to provide accommodation for all attached properties.

(iii) The third objection, relating to the obstruction of execution and its consequences, is not, in my opinion, serious. It would seldom be necessary, in the rare event of such obstruction being offered, for the president himself to appear as a witness in the court; the village servant would normally file the complaint. In cases of revision petitions in the courts of District Munsifs against orders passed by the president, only the records are generally called for and it is only in very rare cases that the presence of the president in court is found necessary.

2. For the reasons which I have given, I recommend that rule 53 (*b*) of the rules framed under the Act should be so amended as to permit the execution of decrees by either, any member of the panchayat court (as recommended in my letter No. Ref. C. 2. 293/26 dated 3rd February 1926) or, as an alternative by the village munsif.

Letter from A. M. A. C. GALLETTI, Esq., I.C.S., Collector of Ganjam, No. L. Dis. 2910/27, dated 3rd July 1927.

[Government Memorandum No. 705 B-2, dated 6th May 1927.]

I have not received any complaints from presidents of Panchayat Courts in this district in the matter of execution of decrees. I do not think that any change in the rules will remove difficulties which are personal.

———

APPENDIX XVIII.

[Vide answer to question No. 1399 asked by Mr. C. Ramasomayajulu at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on 1st February 1929, page 599 supra.]

Letter from the Director of Industries, No. 843-C/28, dated 10th January 1929.

I have the honour to state that the majority of the industrial concerns in Ganjam and Vizagapatam districts being rice mills and groundnut factories there appears to be very little scope for the establishment in those districts of schools of the Trades School type which presuppose the existence of a number of industrial concerns in close proximity to one another from which the apprentices could be drawn for theoretical instruction in the continuation classes. I have consulted a few of the more important concerns and the

replies received from them go to show that there is at present no organized system of apprenticeship training obtaining in the area and that until such a system of education gains firm ground in the locality the establishment of trade schools of the type of the Madras Trades School would be unnecessary and superfluous. It may however be possible to induce as and when opportunity arises one or two large concerns to admit a few apprentices for training and to start work classes for the benefit of the learners and I have instructed the Inspector of Industrial Schools to examine the possibility of any action being taken in this direction when next he is on tour in the districts concerned. Meantime it seems to me that the question of the establishment of a Trade School in the area should be deferred until the Vizagapatam Harbour Scheme has materialised when a real need is likely to arise for the provision of theoretical instruction to the various apprentices employed in the shops.

G.O. Mis. No. 153, Development, dated 25th January 1929.

READ—the following :—

Memorandum to the Director of Industries, No. 2757-II/28-1, dated 1st October 1928.

From the Director of Industries, No. 843-C/28, dated 10th January 1929.

Order—Mis. No. 153, *Development, dated 25th January* 1929.

Recorded.

2. The Director of Industries is requested to report some time in July 1929 on the views of the Inspector of Industrial Schools on the question remitted to him for examination.

(By order of the Government, Ministry of Development)

A. McG. C. TAMPOE,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Industries.